

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				· _ •.	1 40		
APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,533		08/27/2001		Gerd M. Muller	740105-78 2799		
222	04 75	90	05/09/2003				
NIXON PEABODY, LLP					EXAMINER		
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800					FOREMAN, JONATHAN M		
MCLEAN, VA 22102					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					3736	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	
					DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	·		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
		•	09/938,533	3	MULLER ET AL.					
	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
_				IL Foreman	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status	Dosnona	ive to communication(s) filed on								
1)[•	ive to communication(s) filed on _ on is FINAL . 2b)⊠	——· This action is r	on final						
2a) <u> </u>		, —			osecution as to th	ne merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 21</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8</u> , <u>9</u> , <u>12 - 18 and 20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1- 7, 10, 11, 19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.										
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
4410.										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
		☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	J . ,	,	, , , , ,					
,		tified copies of the priority docume	ents have beer	received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:										

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I shown in Figure 4 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Applicant contends that claims 3, 4 and 21 are generic; the examiner agrees.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 2/20/02 complies with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. It has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered by the examiner as to the merits.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: There are erroneous spaces in the first sentences of paragraphs [0002] and [0003] following the words "system" and "disorder" respectively. Another erroneous space precedes the word "micromanipulator" in line 8, paragraph [0004]. Additionally, there is no description for Figures 4a, 4b, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7a, 7b, 8a, 8b, 9a and 9b in the section headed "Brief Description of the Drawings".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/938,533

Art Unit: 3736

4. Claims 1 – 7, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,325,755 to Bushek et al.

In reference to claims 1 – 7, 19 and 21, Bushek et al. discloses a partially implantable hearing system (Figures 3 – 5) comprising an electromechanical output transducer (162) selected from the group consisting of electromagnetic, electrodynamic, magnetostrictive, dielectric and piezoelectric transducers (Col. 8, lines 40 –43); a micromanipulator (145) adapted to be attached to a cranial vault for positioning the transducer and for fixing the transducer in a set position (Col. 10, line 54 – Col. 11, line 27); a releasable coupling unit disposed between the transducer and the micromanipulator (Col. 11, lines 36 – 65; Col. 12, lines 22 - 25). The releasable coupling comprises a transducer-side coupling element (168, 135) and a micromanipulator-side coupling element (150) being adapted to selectively engage each other and disengage from each other. The micromanipulator-side coupling element receives the transducer-side coupling element (Col. 11, lines 36 – 38). At least one of the coupling elements is partially made of an elastic, soft polymeric material (Col 13, lines 13 – 29). The transducer-side coupling element is rotationally symmetrical.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,325,755 to Bushek et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,935,170 to Hakansson et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,533

Art Unit: 3736

In reference to claims 10 and 11, Bushek et al. discloses a releasable coupling unit, but fails to disclose the releasable coupling being a snap-in coupling. Hakansson et al. discloses a partially implanted hearing aid (Col. 1, lines 6 – 13) wherein the releasable coupling affixed to the cranial vault is a snap-in coupling having a rigid annular receiving member, and the coupling element associated with the hearing aid is made partially elastic and adapted to snap into the rigid annular receiver member in a substantially axial direction (Figure 2; Col. 3, lines 18 – 22). It would have been obvious to replace the releasable coupling unit as disclosed by Bushek et al. to comprise a rigid annular receiving member, and a partially elastic member adapted to snap into the rigid annular receiver member in a substantially axial direction as taught by Hakansson et al. in order to provide a releasable coupling unit that prevents the need for large connection and disconnection forces (Col. 1, lines 30 – 50).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 6,293,903 to Kasic and U.S. Patent No. 6,517,476 to Bedoya et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan ML Foreman whose telephone number is (703)-305-5390. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F Hindenburg can be reached on (703)308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-308-0758 for regular communications and (703)-308-0758 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,533

Art Unit: 3736

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0858.

JMLF

May 5, 2003

MAX F. HINDENBURG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700